



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/865,696	05/29/2001	Glenn G. Strawder		5731

7590 08/21/2008  
William D. Hall  
10850 Stanmore Drive  
Potomac, MD 20854-1522

EXAMINER
----------

PORTER, RACHEL L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3626

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/21/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/865,696	<b>Applicant(s)</b> STRAWDER, GLENN G.	
	<b>Examiner</b> RACHEL L. PORTER	<b>Art Unit</b> 3626	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) RACHEL L. PORTER.

(2) William Hall, Reg. No. 14,311.

**Date of Interview:** 14 August 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

*Discussed claims 65,67,69,76, and 77-82*

  

Prior art documents discussed:

*Dorne and Howson*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Amendment after Non-Final action has been submitted

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested to Applicant to include limitations of having 1) the computer determining differences between the first and second series of steps (or predetermined and actual series of steps) and 2) calculating or determining excess expenses associated with performing the/said medical functions. Suggested parallel modifications be added to claims 65, 69, and 76. Examiner explained that Claims 67 and 77 had additional issues which prevented claims from being ready for allowance at this time. No agreement between Examiner and Applicant was reached .